

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 09/662,454             | YANAGI ET AL.       |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Maria B. Marvich, PhD  | 1633                |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Maria B. Marvich, PhD.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Nancy Vensko.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 January 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon reconsideration at a patentability conference, claims 64-66 were found to comprise unenabled embodiments. The claims read on prevention and treatment of hepatitis C virus infection. Applicant was contacted but no amendments to the claims could be agreed upon.